

NO. 14-56440

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

MICHIKO SHIOTA GINGERY, KOICHI MERA, and  
GAHT-US CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

vs.

CITY OF GLENDALE, a municipal  
corporation, SCOTT OCHOA,  
in his capacity as Glendale City Manager,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

On Appeal from United States District Court  
Central District of California  
Case No. 2:14-cv-1291  
Honorable Percy Anderson, Judge Presiding

---

**PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' OPENING BRIEF**

---

BLECHER COLLINS PEPPERMAN & JOYE  
MAXWELL M. BLECHER  
DONALD R. PEPPERMAN  
TAYLOR C. WAGNIERE  
515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1750  
Los Angeles, California 90071-3334  
Telephone: (213) 622-4222  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

LAW OFFICES OF RONALD S. BARAK  
RONALD S. BARAK  
206 Giardino Way  
Pacific Palisades, California 90272  
Telephone: (310) 459-3963  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

**CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, none of the Plaintiffs-Appellants has a parent corporation, subsidiaries, or affiliates that have issued shares to the public.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....iv

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION ..... 1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW ..... 1

STATEMENT OF THE CASE ..... 2

    A. Introduction ..... 2

    B. Factual Background..... 5

        1. The Monument and Plaque ..... 6

        2. Local and International Criticism of the Monument and  
           Plaque..... 8

    C. The District Court’s Dismissal with Prejudice ..... 11

STANDARD OF REVIEW ..... 11

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT ..... 13

ARGUMENT ..... 15

I. THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN REFUSING TO GRANT  
LEAVE TO AMEND—EVEN ONCE ..... 15

II. PLAINTIFFS HAVE STANDING TO CHALLENGE GLENDALE’S  
INSTALLATION OF A PUBLIC MONUMENT AND PLAQUE  
THAT UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INTERFERES WITH THE  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT’S EXCLUSIVE POWER TO  
REGULATE FOREIGN AFFAIRS ..... 17

- A. The Individual Plaintiffs Have Standing Because Glendale’s Installation Of The Monument And Plaque Directly Results In Their Psychological Injury And Loss Of Enjoyment And Recreational Use Of Glendale’s Public Land ..... 18
- B. In The Alternative, This Court Should Hold That Plaintiff Gingery Has Municipal Taxpayer Standing, Or It Should Provide Her with Leave To Amend The Complaint .....26
- C. Plaintiff GAHT-US Has Organizational Standing .....28
- D. The District Court Erroneously Concluded That There Was No Standing .....30
- III. THIS COURT SHOULD FIND STANDING AND REACH THE MERITS .....34
- IV. THE POLITICAL-QUESTION DOCTRINE DOES NOT BAR THIS LAWSUIT .....37
- V. GLENDALE’S ACTION IS PREEMPTED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT’S AUTHORITY TO REGULATE FOREIGN AFFAIRS .....40
  - A. The Constitution Preempts Municipal Action That Interferes With Foreign Policy .....42
  - B. Under This Court’s *Movsesian* Decision, Glendale’s Actions Are Preempted Because They Do Not Concern An Area Of Traditional State Authority .....44
  - C. Under *Movsesian*, Glendale’s Actions Are Preempted Because They Intrude On Powers Reserved To The Federal Government By Seeking To Establish Foreign Policy Regarding Comfort Women .....50
  - D. The District Court’s Merits Discussion Is Entitled To No Deference And, In Any Event, Is Wrong .....53
- CONCLUSION .....58

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES .....60

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....61

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....62

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****CASES**

<i>Adams v. Maine Municipal Ass'n</i> , 2013 WL 9246553 (D. Me., Feb. 14, 2013).....	56
<i>Alameda Newspapers, Inc. v. City of Oakland</i> , 95 F.3d 1406 (9th Cir. 1996).....	55
<i>Alaska Fish &amp; Wildlife Federation and Outdoor Council, Inc. v. Dunkle</i> , 829 F.2d 933 (9th Cir. 1987).....	29
<i>Alaska Wildlife Alliance v. Jensen</i> , 108 F.3d 1065 (9th Cir. 1997).....	20
<i>Am. Ins. Ass'n v. Garamendi</i> , 539 U.S. 396 (2003) .....	passim
<i>Arizona Right to Life Political Action Comm. v. Bayless</i> , 320 F.3d 1002 (9th Cir. 2003).....	22, 23
<i>Baker v. Carr</i> , 369 U.S. 186 (1962) .....	37
<i>Barnes-Wallace v. City of San Diego</i> , 530 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2008).....	passim
<i>Barnes-Wallace v. City of San Diego</i> , 704 F.3d 1067 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	13, 14, 34
<i>Bennett v. Spear</i> , 520 U.S. 154 (1997) .....	24
<i>Blue Ridge Insurance Co. v. Stanewich</i> , 142 F.3d 1145 (9th Cir. 1998).....	27
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714 (1986) .....	28
<i>Buono v. Norton</i> , 371 F.3d 543 (9th Cir. 2004).....	20
<i>Caldwell v. Caldwell</i> , 545 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2008).....	32, 33
<i>Cammack v. Waihee</i> , 932 F.2d 765 (9th Cir. 1991).....	26
<i>Chae Chan Ping v. United States</i> , 130 U.S. 581 (1889) .....	41
<i>Chang v. Chen</i> , 80 F.3d 1293 (9th Cir. 1996).....	12
<i>Clinton v. City of New York</i> , 524 U.S. 417 (1998) .....	14, 28, 29

<i>Creek v. Village of Westhaven</i> , 80 F.3d 186 (7th Cir. 1996) .....	56
<i>Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council</i> , 530 U.S. 363 (2000) .....	42, 53
<i>DCD Programs, Ltd. v. Leighton</i> , 833 F.2d 183 (9th Cir. 1987) .....	13
<i>Desert Citizens Against Pollution v. Bisson</i> , 231 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	12, 32
<i>Deutsch v. Turner Corp.</i> , 324 F.3d 692 (9th Cir. 2003) .....	38, 41, 42
<i>Doe v. United States</i> , 58 F.3d 494 (9th Cir. 1995) .....	16
<i>Downs v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist.</i> , 228 F.3d 1003 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	56
<i>Duke Power Co. v. Carolina Env'tl. Study Group, Inc.</i> , 438 U.S. 59 (1978) .....	19
<i>Ellis v. City of La Mesa</i> , 990 F.2d 1518 (9th Cir. 1993) .....	20
<i>Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.</i> , 316 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2003) .....	12
<i>Foman v. Davis</i> , 371 U.S. 178 (1962) .....	16
<i>Foster v. Love</i> , 522 U.S. 67 (1997) .....	42
<i>Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental Services (TOC), Inc.</i> , 528 U.S. 167 (2000) .....	19, 28
<i>Ft. Vancouver Plywood Co. v. United States</i> , 747 F.2d 547 (9th Cir. 1984) .....	12
<i>Gade v. Nat'l Solid Wastes Mgmt. Assn</i> , 505 U.S. 88 (1992) .....	42, 53
<i>Haisten v. Grass Valley Med. Reimbursement Fund, Ltd.</i> , 784 F.2d 1392 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	11
<i>Hewitt v. Joyner</i> , 940 F.2d 1561 (9th Cir. 1991) .....	20
<i>Hines v. Davidowitz</i> , 312 U.S. 52 (1941) .....	passim
<i>Hormel v. Helvering</i> , 312 U.S. 552 (1941) .....	36
<i>Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Comm'n</i> , 432 U.S. 333 (1977) .....	28, 29

<i>In re World War II Era Japanese Forced Labor Litig.</i> , 164 F. Supp. 2d 1160 (N.D. Cal. 2001).....	42
<i>Japan Line, Ltd. v. Los Angeles Cnty.</i> , 441 U.S. 434 (1979) .....	45, 47, 52
<i>Japan Whaling Ass'n v. American Cetacean Soc'y</i> , 478 U.S. 221 (1986) .....	37
<i>Joo v. Japan</i> , 172 F.Supp.2d 52 (D.D.C. 2001).....	39
<i>Joo v. Japan</i> , 413 F3d 45 (D.C. Cir. 2005).....	8, 9, 39
<i>KBR, Inc. v. Metzgar</i> , No. 13-1241, 2015 WL 231968 (U.S. Jan. 20, 2015) .....	40
<i>Kimes v. Stone</i> , 84 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 1996) .....	15, 28, 36
<i>Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Publ'g</i> , 512 F.3d 522 (9th Cir. 2008) .....	12
<i>Lexmark Int'l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1377 (2014) .....	22
<i>Louisiana Energy &amp; Power Auth. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Comm'n</i> , 141 F.3d 364 (D.C. Cir. 1998).....	31
<i>LSO, Ltd. v. Stroh</i> , 205 F.3d 1146 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	22
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992) .....	passim
<i>Miller v. Rykoff-Sexton, Inc.</i> , 845 F.2d 209 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	16
<i>Movsesian v. Victoria Versicherung AG</i> , 670 F.3d 1067 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	passim
<i>Nat'l Foreign Trade Council v. Natsios</i> , 181 F.3d 38 (1st Cir. 1999) .....	46, 55
<i>Ocean Advocates v. U.S. Army Corps of Eng'rs</i> , 402 F.3d 846 (9th Cir. 2005) .....	19
<i>Pleasant Grove City, Utah v. Summum</i> , 555 U.S. 460 (2009) .....	56, 58
<i>Public Util. Dist. No 1 v. IDACORP Inc.</i> , 379 F.3d 641 (9th Cir. 2004) .....	35
<i>R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. v. Bonta</i> , 272 F.Supp.2d 1085 (E.D. Cal. 2003).....	56
<i>Rabkin v. Oregon Health Scis. Univ.</i> , 350 F.3d 967 (9th Cir. 2003) .....	12



<i>Sacks v. Office of Foreign Assets Control</i> , 466 F.3d 764 (9th Cir. 2006) .....	34
<i>Schreiber Distrib. Co. v. Serv-Well Furniture Co.</i> , 806 F.2d 1393 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	16
<i>Sierra Club v. Morton</i> , 405 U.S. 727 (1972) .....	19
<i>Singleton v. Wulff</i> , 428 U.S. 106 (1976) .....	35
<i>Snell v. Cleveland, Inc.</i> , 316 F.3d 822 (9th Cir. 2002) .....	27
<i>Sprewell v. Golden State Warriors</i> , 266 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 2001) .....	12
<i>Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment</i> , 523 U.S. 83 (1998) .....	31, 34, 35
<i>Susan B. Anthony List v. Driehaus</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2334 (2014) .....	17
<i>Swartz v. KPMG LLP</i> , 476 F.3d 756 (9th Cir. 2007) .....	48
<i>Thinket Ink Info. Res., Inc. v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.</i> , 368 F.3d 1053 (9th Cir. 2004) .....	15
<i>Tyler v. Cuomo</i> , 236 F.3d 1124 (9th Cir. 2000) .....	31
<i>U. S. Dep't of Agric. v. Moreno</i> , 413 U.S. 528 (1973) .....	17
<i>United States v. Munoz-Flores</i> , 495 U.S. 385 (1990) .....	37
<i>United States v. Pink</i> , 315 U.S. 203 (1942) .....	40, 42
<i>Valley Forge Christian College v. Americans United for Separation of Church and State</i> , 454 U.S. 464 (1982) .....	19, 21, 22
<i>Vasquez v. Los Angeles County</i> , 487 F.3d 1246 (9th Cir. 2007) .....	20
<i>Von Saher v. Norton Simon Museum of Art at Pasadena</i> , 592 F.3d 954 (9th Cir. 2010) .....	44, 45, 49
<i>Warth v. Seldin</i> , 422 U.S. 490 (1975) .....	12
<i>Zschernig v. Miller</i> , 389 U.S. 429 (1968) .....	passim

**STATUTES**

28 U.S.C. § 1291 .....1  
28 U.S.C. § 1331 .....1  
28 U.S.C. § 1343..... 1  
28 U.S.C. § 1367..... 1  
28 U.S.C. § 1653.....27

**RULES**

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12 ..... 11, 12, 35  
Federal Rule of Evidence 201.....10